

 ONTARIO COUNCIL of CAMERA CLUBS						2022 Audio Visual Competition #1 Nov 2022					
ESSAY#		1	Graff In The 6ix			ESSAY#		1	Graff In The 6ix		
JUDGE#		1				JUDGE#		2			
Judging Criteria						Judging Criteria					
Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)					Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments		
Technical Quality	8.0					Technical Quality	10.0				
Appropriate To Purpose	8.5					Appropriate To Purpose	10.0				
Audio/Text						Audio/Text					
Sound Quality	8.0	Allowance made for Pandemic issues and Zoom, Skype and Facetime.				Sound Quality	10.0				
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	8.0					Appropriatenss of sound/narration	10.0				
Production						Production					
Integration & Flow	7.5					Integration & Flow	10.0				
Structure & Technique	8.0					Structure & Technique	10.0				
Purpose						Purpose					
Clarity of Intent	9.0					Clarity of Intent	10.0				
Theme Development	7.5					Theme Development	10.0				
Audience Appeal						Audience Appeal					
Originality & Impact	8.0					Originality & Impact	10.0				
Maintenance of Interest	8.0					Maintenance of Interest	10.0				
Total Score (max 100)	80.5					Total Score (max 100)	100.0				
ESSAY#		2	Fall In Ontario			ESSAY#		2	Fall In Ontario		
JUDGE#		1				JUDGE#		2			
Judging Criteria						Judging Criteria					
Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments			Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments		
Technical Quality	8.0					Technical Quality	9.0				
Appropriate To Purpose	8.5					Appropriate To Purpose	8.5	Should 'marker' on the final image be maker?			
Audio/Text						Audio/Text					
Sound Quality	8.0					Sound Quality	8.5				
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	8.0					Appropriatenss of sound/narration	8.0				
Production						Production					
Integration & Flow	8.0					Integration & Flow	9.0	Good blend of stills and video. Very good transitions			
Structure & Technique	8.0					Structure & Technique	8.5				
Purpose						Purpose					
Clarity of Intent	8.5					Clarity of Intent	8.0	Excellent!			
Theme Development	8.5					Theme Development	8.0				
Audience Appeal						Audience Appeal					
Originality & Impact	7.5					Originality & Impact	7.5				
Maintenance of Interest	8.0	The fall colours in this show are very attractive and pleasing, but the drone footage offers an interesting alternative and perspective bringing the show up a notch.				Maintenance of Interest	8.0				
Total Score (max 100)	81.0					Total Score (max 100)	83.0				
ESSAY#		3	CBC ART presents Rehgo Sejant			ESSAY#		3	CBC ART presents Rehgo Sejant		
JUDGE#		1				JUDGE#		2			
Judging Criteria						Judging Criteria					
Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments			Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments		
Technical Quality	7.0					Technical Quality	9.0				
Appropriate To Purpose	7.0					Appropriate To Purpose	9.0				
Audio/Text						Audio/Text					
Sound Quality	7.0					Sound Quality	8.0				
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	6.0	The spinning texts were too quick to read, consider another presentation				Appropriatenss of sound/narration	8.0				
Production						Production					
Integration & Flow	7.5					Integration & Flow	8.0				
Structure & Technique	7.5					Structure & Technique	7.0	While the transitions were well done, they were overdone. The spinning titles were hard to read. A number of the transitions were too quick.Intro was very clever!			
Purpose						Purpose			8		
Clarity of Intent	7.0					Clarity of Intent	8.0				
Theme Development	7.5					Theme Development	8.0				
Audience Appeal						Audience Appeal					
Originality & Impact	8.0					Originality & Impact	9.0				
Maintenance of Interest	8.0					Maintenance of Interest	8.0				
Total Score (max 100)	72.5					Total Score (max 100)	82.0				
ESSAY#		4	Unassuming Charm of Shirak			ESSAY#		4	Unassuming Charm of Shirak		
JUDGE#		1				JUDGE#		2			
Judging Criteria						Judging Criteria					
Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments			Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments		
Technical Quality	7.0					Technical Quality	9.0				
Appropriate To Purpose	7.0					Appropriate To Purpose	9.0				
Audio/Text						Audio/Text					
Sound Quality	7.0					Sound Quality	8.0				
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	6.0	The spinning texts were too quick to read, consider another presentation				Appropriatenss of sound/narration	8.0				
Production						Production					
Integration & Flow	7.5					Integration & Flow	8.0				
Structure & Technique	7.5					Structure & Technique	7.0	While the transitions were well done, they were overdone. The spinning titles were hard to read. A number of the transitions were too quick.Intro was very clever!			
Purpose						Purpose			8		
Clarity of Intent	7.0					Clarity of Intent	8.0				
Theme Development	7.5					Theme Development	8.0				
Audience Appeal						Audience Appeal					
Originality & Impact	8.0					Originality & Impact	9.0				
Maintenance of Interest	8.0					Maintenance of Interest	8.0				
Total Score (max 100)	72.5					Total Score (max 100)	82.0				
ESSAY#		4	Unassuming Charm of Shirak			ESSAY#		4	Unassuming Charm of Shirak		
JUDGE#		1				JUDGE#		2			
Judging Criteria						Judging Criteria					
Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments			Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments		
Technical Quality	7.0					Technical Quality	9.0				
Appropriate To Purpose	7.0					Appropriate To Purpose	9.0				
Audio/Text						Audio/Text					
Sound Quality	7.0					Sound Quality	8.0				
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	6.0	The spinning texts were too quick to read, consider another presentation				Appropriatenss of sound/narration	8.0				
Production						Production					
Integration & Flow	7.5					Integration & Flow	8.0				
Structure & Technique	7.5					Structure & Technique	7.0	While the transitions were well done, they were overdone. The spinning titles were hard to read. A number of the transitions were too quick.Intro was very clever!			
Purpose						Purpose			8		
Clarity of Intent	7.0					Clarity of Intent	8.0				
Theme Development	7.5					Theme Development	8.0				
Audience Appeal						Audience Appeal					
Originality & Impact	8.0					Originality & Impact	9.0				
Maintenance of Interest	8.0					Maintenance of Interest	8.0				
Total Score (max 100)	72.5					Total Score (max 100)	82.0				
ESSAY#		4	Unassuming Charm of Shirak			ESSAY#		4	Unassuming Charm of Shirak		
JUDGE#		1				JUDGE#		2			
Judging Criteria						Judging Criteria					
Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments			Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments		
Technical Quality	7.0					Technical Quality	9.0				
Appropriate To Purpose	7.0					Appropriate To Purpose	9.0				
Audio/Text						Audio/Text					
Sound Quality	7.0					Sound Quality	8.0				
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	6.0	The spinning texts were too quick to read, consider another presentation				Appropriatenss of sound/narration	8.0				
Production						Production					
Integration & Flow	7.5					Integration & Flow	8.0				
Structure & Technique	7.5					Structure & Technique	7.0	While the transitions were well done, they were overdone. The spinning titles were hard to read. A number of the transitions were too quick.Intro was very clever!			
Purpose						Purpose			8		
Clarity of Intent	7.0					Clarity of Intent	8.0				
Theme Development	7.5					Theme Development	8.0				
Audience Appeal						Audience Appeal					
Originality & Impact	8.0					Originality & Impact	9.0				
Maintenance of Interest	8.0					Maintenance of Interest	8.0				
Total Score (max 100)	72.5					Total Score (max 100)	82.0				
ESSAY#		4	Unassuming Charm of Shirak			ESSAY#		4	Unassuming Charm of Shirak		
JUDGE#		1				JUDGE#		2			
Judging Criteria						Judging Criteria					
Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments			Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments		
Technical Quality	7.0					Technical Quality	9.0				
Appropriate To Purpose	7.0					Appropriate To Purpose	9.0				
Audio/Text						Audio/Text					
Sound Quality	7.0					Sound Quality	8.0				
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	6.0	The spinning texts were too quick to read, consider another presentation				Appropriatenss of sound/narration	8.0				
Production						Production					
Integration & Flow	7.5					Integration & Flow	8.0				
Structure & Technique	7.5					Structure & Technique	7.0	While the transitions were well done, they were overdone. The spinning titles were hard to read. A number of the transitions were too quick.Intro was very clever!			
Purpose						Purpose			8		
Clarity of Intent	7.0					Clarity of Intent	8.0				
Theme Development	7.5					Theme Development	8.0				
Audience Appeal						Audience Appeal					
Originality & Impact	8.0					Originality & Impact	9.0				
Maintenance of Interest	8.0					Maintenance of Interest	8.0				
Total Score (max 100)	72.5					Total Score (max 100)	82.0				
ESSAY#		4	Unassuming Charm of Shirak			ESSAY#		4	Unassuming Charm of Shirak		
JUDGE#		1				JUDGE#		2			
Judging Criteria						Judging Criteria					
Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments			Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments		
Technical Quality	7.0					Technical Quality	9.0				
Appropriate To Purpose	7.0					Appropriate To Purpose	9.0				
Audio/Text						Audio/Text					
Sound Quality	7.0					Sound Quality	8.0				
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	6.0	The spinning texts were too quick to read, consider another presentation				Appropriatenss of sound/narration	8.0				
Production						Production					
Integration & Flow	7.5					Integration & Flow	8.0				
Structure & Technique	7.5					Structure & Technique	7.0	While the transitions were well done, they were overdone. The spinning titles were hard to read. A number of the transitions were too quick.Intro was very clever!			
Purpose						Purpose			8		
Clarity of Intent	7.0					Clarity of Intent	8.0				
Theme Development	7.5					Theme Development	8.0				
Audience Appeal						Audience Appeal					
Originality & Impact	8.0					Originality & Impact	9.0				
Maintenance of Interest	8.0					Maintenance of Interest	8.0				
Total Score (max 100)	72.5					Total Score (max 100)	82.0				
ESSAY#		4	Unassuming Charm of Shirak			ESSAY#		4	Unassuming Charm of Shirak		
JUDGE#		1				JUDGE#		2			
Judging Criteria						Judging Criteria					
Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments			Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments		
Technical Quality	7.0					Technical Quality	9.0				
Appropriate To Purpose	7.0					Appropriate To Purpose	9.0				
Audio/Text						Audio/Text					
Sound Quality	7.0					Sound Quality	8.0				
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	6.0	The spinning texts were too quick to read, consider another presentation				Appropriatenss of sound/narration	8.0				
Production						Production					
Integration & Flow	7.5					Integration & Flow	8.0				
Structure & Technique	7.5					Structure & Technique	7.0	While the transitions were well done, they were overdone. The spinning titles were hard to read. A number of the transitions were too quick.Intro was very clever!			
Purpose						Purpose			8		
Clarity of Intent	7.0					Clarity of Intent	8.0				
Theme Development	7.5					Theme Development	8.0				
Audience Appeal						Audience Appeal					
Originality & Impact	8.0					Originality & Impact	9.0				
Maintenance of Interest	8.0					Maintenance of Interest	8.0				
Total Score (max 100)	72.5					Total Score (max 100)	82.0				
ESSAY#		4	Unassuming Charm of Shirak			ESSAY#		4	Unassuming Charm of Shirak		
JUDGE#		1				JUDGE#		2			
Judging Criteria						Judging Criteria					
Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments			Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments		
Technical Quality	7.0					Technical Quality	9.0				
Appropriate To Purpose	7.0					Appropriate To Purpose	9.0				
Audio/Text						Audio/Text					
Sound Quality	7.0					Sound Quality	8.0				
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	6.0	The spinning texts were too quick to read, consider another presentation				Appropriatenss of sound/narration	8.0				
Production						Production					
Integration & Flow	7.5					Integration & Flow	8.0				
Structure & Technique	7.5					Structure & Technique	7.0	While the transitions were well done, they were overdone. The spinning titles were hard to read. A number of the transitions were too quick.Intro was very clever!			
Purpose						Purpose			8		
Clarity of Intent	7.0					Clarity of Intent	8.0				
Theme Development	7.5					Theme Development	8.0				
Audience Appeal						Audience Appeal					
Originality & Impact	8.0					Originality & Impact	9.0				
Maintenance of Interest	8.0					Maintenance of Interest	8.0				
Total Score (max 100)	72.5					Total Score (max 100)	82.0				
ESSAY#		4	Unassuming Charm of Shirak			ESSAY#		4	Unassuming Charm of Shirak		
JUDGE#		1				JUDGE#		2			
Judging Criteria						Judging Criteria					
Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments			Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)		Comments		
Technical Quality	7.0					Technical Quality	9.0				
Appropriate To Purpose	7.0					Appropriate To Purpose	9.0				
Audio/Text						Audio/Text					
Sound Quality	7.0					Sound Quality	8.0				
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	6.0	The spinning texts were too quick to read, consider another presentation				Appropriatenss of sound/narration	8.0				

Technical Quality	6.0	
Appropriate To Purpose	6.0	
Audio/Text		
Sound Quality	6.5	
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	6.0	Title slide went by a bit too fast - consider a stationary title that fades in and out
Production		
Integration & Flow	6.0	
Structure & Technique	6.0	The show is short, so could be slowed down to give the viewer a little more time to explore and enjoy the images
Purpose		
Clarity of Intent	6.0	
Theme Development	6.0	
Audience Appeal		
Originality & Impact	6.5	
Maintenance of Interest	6.0	Since the images went by too quickly, the trip to Armenia was too short. The viewer is left a little unsatisfied, since the trip was too short.
Total Score (max 100)	61.0	

ESSAY#	5	Journey to a Northern Lake
JUDGE#	1	

Judging Criteria		
Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)	Comments
Technical Quality	5.0	Flaws - several horizons not straight, soft focus on a couple of images, blown out areas and unnatural colours in the sky in several shots
Appropriate To Purpose	6.5	The show flowed well from the start of the trip to the finish; end slides fit well with the beginning
Audio/Text		
Sound Quality	6.0	
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	6.0	
Production		
Integration & Flow	6.0	
Structure & Technique	6.0	
Purpose		
Clarity of Intent	6.0	
Theme Development	6.0	
Audience Appeal		
Originality & Impact	5.5	Low impact, too much repetition with shots from the plane
Maintenance of Interest	5.5	Too many photos of nearly the same views from the plane.
Total Score (max 100)	58.5	

ESSAY#	6	Flower Focus
JUDGE#	1	

Judging Criteria		
Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)	Comments
Technical Quality	6.0	
Appropriate To Purpose	6.0	
Audio/Text		
Sound Quality	6.0	
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	6.0	
Production		
Integration & Flow	6.0	
Structure & Technique	6.5	
Purpose		
Clarity of Intent	7.0	A minor point re the narrative slide - consider centring the text after the Monet quote.
Theme Development	7.0	
Audience Appeal		
Originality & Impact	6.0	
Maintenance of Interest	6.0	
Total Score (max 100)	62.5	

Technical Quality	7.0	There were several images where the horizon was not level. Also there were images of structure which were not upright.
Appropriate To Purpose	8.0	
Audio/Text		
Sound Quality	8.0	
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	8.0	
Production		
Integration & Flow	8.0	
Structure & Technique	7.0	
Purpose		
Clarity of Intent	9.0	
Theme Development	8.5	
Audience Appeal		
Originality & Impact	7.0	
Maintenance of Interest	7.0	Very pleasant essay!
Total Score (max 100)	77.5	

ESSAY#	5	Journey to a Northern Lake
JUDGE#	2	

Judging Criteria		
Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)	Comments
Technical Quality	8.0	There were some images that were blurred or out of focus. Some horizons were not level.
Appropriate To Purpose	8.0	The first few images of the cars and motel are not really ??? Starting with the float plane might be better.
Audio/Text		
Sound Quality	9.0	
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	7.0	
Production		
Integration & Flow	7.5	
Structure & Technique	7.5	There were too many similar images, in the aerials, falls colors, shoreline and even the lodge.
Purpose		
Clarity of Intent	7.0	
Theme Development	8.5	The were many more images than needed.
Audience Appeal		
Originality & Impact	6.0	
Maintenance of Interest	7.0	
Total Score (max 100)	75.5	

ESSAY#	6	Flower Focus
JUDGE#	2	

Judging Criteria		
Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)	Comments
Technical Quality	5.0	There were several images where the foregrounds were blurry or out of focus.
Appropriate To Purpose	7.0	
Audio/Text		
Sound Quality	6.0	The sound was tinny on my (high end) computer
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	7.0	
Production		
Integration & Flow	6.0	Using similar colors or textures to follow each other would improve the flow.
Structure & Technique	7.0	
Purpose		
Clarity of Intent	7.0	
Theme Development	6.0	
Audience Appeal		
Originality & Impact	6.0	
Maintenance of Interest	7.0	
Total Score (max 100)	64.0	

Technical Quality	1.0	Overall the images were quite well done
Appropriate To Purpose	0.5	Most of the images supported the developing theme of this show, with the exception of 2 images that seemed to break the flow. While dog and person standing on hill.
Audio/Text		
Sound Quality	1.0	
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	0.5	The music appeared to be just in the background and did not appear to be specifically timed to the display of the next image.
Production		
Integration & Flow	0.5	There seemed to be a couple of images in the show that did not flow with the others. Eg: image of white dog, and image of person on hill with tripod. Although the images that following the person on the hill showed the perspective of being taken from a higher vantage point the image of the person broke up the flow as did the one of the white dog.
Structure & Technique	0.5	There did not appear to be a well defined end to the show the images just stopped, possibly connecting with an image from the beginning of the show could have defined that the show was coming to an end better.
Purpose		
Clarity of Intent	1.0	
Theme Development	1.0	
Audience Appeal		
Originality & Impact	1.0	
Maintenance of Interest	1.0	
Total Score (max 100)	8.0	

ESSAY#	5	Journey to a Northern Lake
JUDGE#	3	

Judging Criteria		
Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)	Comments
Technical Quality	0.5	Some of the images had areas that were overexposed and no a losse of detail. There were images with the wing to the plane that were so similar it appears to be a repeat of the image in the show.
Appropriate To Purpose	0.5	Depicts the story of the journey to and from the lake.
Audio/Text		
Sound Quality	1.0	
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	1.0	
Production		
Integration & Flow	0.5	The flow would be improved if the images were all the same size. When changing to portrait format images placing 2 side by side would fill if not the same space as your landscape format images but very close.
Structure & Technique	0.5	
Purpose		
Clarity of Intent	0.5	It is not 100% clear the intent of this show it could be to Entertain or an attempt to tell a story.
Theme Development	1.0	
Audience Appeal		
Originality & Impact	1.0	
Maintenance of Interest	1.0	
Total Score (max 100)	7.5	

ESSAY#	6	Flower Focus
JUDGE#	3	

Judging Criteria		
Photography&/or Video/time lapse	Score 1-10(half scores accepted)	Comments
Technical Quality	0.5	a couple of the images the foreground was out of focus and a bit distracting.
Appropriate To Purpose	1.0	
Audio/Text		
Sound Quality	1.0	
Appropriatenss of sound/narration	0.5	Timing of the beat in the music to the images display could have been better handled.
Production		
Integration & Flow	1.0	There was a nice flow from one image to the next.
Structure & Technique	0.5	
Purpose		
Clarity of Intent	1.0	
Theme Development	1.0	
Audience Appeal		
Originality & Impact	0.5	While this was a nice collection of garden images, there did not seem to be a strong story or mood created.
Maintenance of Interest	1.0	
Total Score (max 100)	8.0	